



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/382,423	08/24/1999	JEFFRY JOVAN PHILYAW	PHLY-24.739	5217

25883 7590 10/05/2006

HOWISON & ARNOTT, L.L.P
P.O. BOX 741715
DALLAS, TX 75374-1715

EXAMINER

BROWN, RUEBEN M

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2623

DATE MAILED: 10/05/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/382,423	Applicant(s) PHILYAW ET AL.	
	Examiner Reuben M. Brown	Art Unit 2623	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 August 2006.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5 and 7-11 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5 and 7-11 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 8/8/2006 have been fully considered but are not persuasive. Applicant's main argument is that the advertisement alert in Kitsukawa is contemporaneous with the program, such that it cannot be at "different times". However, Kitsukawa clearly teaches that any particular scene in the video broadcast may be used to carry an advertisement, since the advertisement may be associated with any particular item in a video broadcast scene, see col. 8, lines 51-65 thru col. 9, lines 1-51.

Applicant also argues on page 5 that, "Examiner has utilized Yuen for this purpose. The examiner has cited the portion of the background which is similar to applicant's description of prior art, that being where a normal operation is to provide some type of announcement at some time in the day to induce a listener to tune in at a later time. However, the present invention utilizes the pre-announcement as part of the program itself". Examine points out that the combination of Kitsukawa Yuen still meets this claim, since the advertisement in Kitsukawa is part of the program itself, as characterized by col. 9, lines 64-67.

Regarding the additional claimed feature, 'or the at least second portion that is delivered to the consumer at another desired time for allowing the user to access the desired advertiser

Art Unit: 2623

location through the PC based system”, examiner points out that this limitation is recited in the alternative and thus not required to be addressed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-5 & 7-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kitsukawa, (U.S. Pat # 6,282,713), in view of Yuen, (U.S. Pat # 6,668,133).

Considering amended claim 1, the claimed method for delivering advertising to a consumer over a broadcast media/global communication network, comprising the steps of ‘generating an advertisement broadcast comprised of a general program having non-advertisement content and associated advertising content dispersed there through for broadcast over a broadcast media which is directed to a general class of consumers’, reads on Kitsukawa which teaches that icons or objects that represent advertisements may be presented to a TV viewer during the display of a particular TV broadcast, (Abstract; col. 6, lines 40-53; col. 7, lines 25-35 & Fig. 5). The regular TV broadcast in Kitsukawa corresponds with the claimed non-advertisement content.

The claimed feature of 'embedding in the broadcast unique information for inducing a consumer to view the broadcast for later access to a desired advertiser's location on the global network over a PC based system' reads on Kitsukawa providing viewers with the advertisements that enable the viewer to connect with catalogs/web sites of manufacturers and dealers, (col. 8, lines 50-67). Except for the amended feature of, 'inducing the consumer to view the broadcast for later access', even though Kitsukawa teaches that the advertising information may be retrieved at a later time, it is not explicit that the consumer is induced to view the broadcast at a later time. However, Yuen teaches that it is desirable to alert a viewer of a programming at a later time, col. 2, lines 34-41. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to modify Kitsukawa with the technique of alerting the user that supplemental programming is upcoming, at a designated time, at least for the desirable advantage of allowing to timely watch or record the instant programming as taught by Kitsukawa.

The additionally claimed feature of broadcasting to the potential class of consumers, the advertisement broadcast with the embedded unique information therein, reads on transmitting the video broadcast along with the URL links which enables the users to access corresponding web pages or the links which enables connection to an electronic database.

Regarding the amended claimed feature of 'dispersing the unique information throughout the advertisement broadcast at different places, such that the viewer is induced by at least a

Art Unit: 2623

portion of the received unique information to access the desired advertiser's location after a predetermined time in the broadcast and wherein the location of the unique information in the program broadcast is associated with the content of the program broadcast proximate in time thereto', Kitsukawa teaches that the advertising links may be associated with specific actors, actresses or by associating with icons that represents content; see col. 8, lines 51-67 thru col. 9, lines 1-10 & Fig. 5. These scenes may be broadcast at different times, and are not limited to any particular time.

As for the information being at predetermined times, since Kitsukawa teaches that advertising data may be multiplexed with the program before it is broadcast, and the viewer is provided with an alert that informs the viewer that an advertising will be available, Abstract; col. 7, lines 10-20, the reference reads on the claimed subject matter.

Regarding the further amended claimed feature of 'the first portion of the received unique information to access the desired advertisers location after a predetermined time, and wherein the location of at least a second portion of the unique information in the program broadcast is associated with non-advertisement content of the program a broadcast of proximate time thereto, such that the accessing comprises either the at least first portion for informing the consumer that an access will be available at another desired time', Kitsukawa does not discuss the relationship between the inducement and the advertisement. Nevertheless, Yuen in the Background of Invention, discloses that at the time the invention was made, it was well known in the art to inform a consumer of an upcoming program segments, such as regular broadcast or an

Art Unit: 2623

advertisement, see col. 2, lines 30-45. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to modify Kitsukawa with the technique of alerting the user that supplemental programming is upcoming, at a designated time, at least for the desirable advantage of allowing to timely watch or record the instant programming as taught by Kitsukawa.

Considering claim 2, the claimed method step of activating a network or server at the advertiser's location to wait for a response in the form of a network connection to the advertiser's location by a potential consumer, and upon a response from one of the consumers providing information additional to that contained within the advertisement broadcast, reads on the operation of Kitsukawa, wherein a user may select an advertisement that contains a web page or catalog link that connects the user to a manufacturer or dealer, col. 8, lines 51-60. Additional web pages are transmitted to the consumer, in response to requests for the instant web pages, by the well-known process of selection of icons, buttons, interactive images, etc.

Considering claim 8, Kitsukawa discusses numerous methods for indicating that a selectable entity is available, including the use of audible tones, col. 7, lines 11-15 & col. 8, lines 27-31.

Considering claim 10, Kitsukawa discusses numerous methods for indicating that a selectable entity is available, including the use of audible tones, col. 7, lines 11-15 & col. 8, lines 27-31.

Art Unit: 2623

6. Claims 4-5, 7, 9 & 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kitsukawa & Yuen, in view of Marsh, (U.S. Pat # 5,848,397).

Considering claims 4-5 & 7, Kitsukawa does not explicitly discuss embedding information in the advertisement that can be decoded by the PC and transferred back to the advertiser's location upon access thereof by the consumer. Nevertheless, Marsh teaches at least embedding an identification code or number, for the purpose of tracking the number of times and by which consumers the instant commercial has been accessed; see col. 14, lines 20-23 & col. 14, lines 65-67 thru col. 15, lines 1-40. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to modify Kitsukawa with the feature of embedding at least ID information in an advertisement, at least for the desirable benefit of tracking statistics data regarding the instant advertisement, as taught by Marsh.

Considering claims 9 & 11, Kitsukawa does not explicitly discuss embedding information in the advertisement that can be decoded by the PC and transferred back to the advertiser's location upon access thereof by the consumer. Nevertheless, Marsh teaches at least embedding an identification code or number, for the purpose of tracking the number of times and by which consumers the instant commercial has been accessed; see col. 14, lines 20-23 & col. 14, lines 65-67 thru col. 15, lines 1-40. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to modify Kitsukawa with the feature of embedding at least ID information in an advertisement, at least for the desirable benefit of tracking statistics data regarding the instant advertisement, as taught by Marsh.

Conclusion

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2623

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

or faxed to:

(571) 273-8300, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

(571) 273-7290 (for informal or draft communications, please label
"PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Reuben M. Brown whose telephone number is (571) 272-7290. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:00-6:00), First Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Kelley can be reached on (571) 272-7331. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300 for regular communications and After Final communications.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Reuben M. Brown


REUBEN M. BROWN
PRIMARY EXAMINER